Case 1:22-cr-00232-DCT-BANTATES DISTRICT FIEO UB/T4/23 Page 1 of 2

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 1:23-MJ-0063 SAB
Plaintiff,	
V.	DETENTION ORDER
MARTIN ALFREDO LEIVA-LEIVA,	
Defendant.	
A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U. above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C	S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders the . § 3142(e) and (i).
B. Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it for X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition assure the appearance of the defendant as required X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition assure the safety of any other person and the conditions.	lition or combination of conditions will reasonably ed. ion or combination of conditions will reasonably
Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following: X	der in aid of racketeering, is a serious crime and carries a of controlled substances. dant is high. ant including: e a mental condition which may affect whether the family ties in the area. steady employment. substantial financial resources. me resident of the community. any known significant community ties.

Defendant: MARTIN ALFREDO LEIVA-LEIVA Case Number: 1:23-MJ-0063 SAB Document 33 Filed 06/14/23 Page 2 of 2

(b) Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court;
At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
Probation
Parole
Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
(c) Other Factors:
The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
Other: born in El Salvador; his passport status is unknown; ICE records reflect he is no
legally residing in the U.S.; his history of international travel is unknown; his substance
abuse history is unknown; his mental health history is unknown; a bond package has not been identified, non-interview
(4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows: nature and
circumstances of offenses, prior arrest for diving without license, non-interview
(5) Rebuttable Presumptions
In determining that the defendant should be detained, the court also relied on the following
rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e), which the court finds the
defendant has not rebutted:
X a. The crime charged is one described in § 3142(f)(1).
X (A) a crime of violence; or
(B) an offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
(C) a controlled substance violation that has a maximum penalty of ten years or
more; or
(D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses
described in (A) through (C) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction of one of
the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which is less than five years old and
which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release
b. There is probable cause to believe that defendant committed an offense for which a
maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed
in the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801, et seq.,
the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 951, et seq.,
the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act, 46 U.S.C. App. §§ 1901, et seq., or
an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.
an offense involving a minor under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1),
2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(
2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425. D. Additional Directives
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility
separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal
The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in
charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for
the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: June 14, 2023 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE